

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/904,248	07/11/2001	Zhi Hong	330.074-US1	2104
34284	7590 11/26/2003		EXAMINER	
ROBERT D. FISH; RUTAN & TUCKER, LLP P.O. BOX 1950			WESSENDOR	F, TERESA D
611 ANTON BLVD., 14TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
COSTA MESA, CA 92628-1950		1639		

DATE MAILED: 11/26/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	09/904,248	HONG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	T. D. Wessendorf	1639				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 D	December 2002 .					
	s action is non-final.					
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>						
4) Claim(s) 21-26 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-26</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No				
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•					
a) The translation of the foreign language pro-	• •					
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	• •					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1639

### DETAILED ACTION

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9/30/03 has been entered.

# Status of Claims

Claims 1-20 have been canceled in the Amendment of 12/6/02.
Claims 21-26 are under consideration.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, first paragraph

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 21, as amended, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written

Art Unit: 1639

description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The as-filed specification fails to provide a description for the new claim "mononucleosides." The as-filed disclosure and the claims recite nucleosides i.e., more than one mer e.g., a chemically distinct polymer sequences. This is evident from the claim which recites that each of the first and second distinct mononucleosides contain each two reactive groups presupposing more than one mononucleoside for the first mononucleoside. That is, sequences or series of mononucleoside for the first and second mononucleoside to form each an individual nucleoside library. MPEP 714.02 states that applicants should clearly point out where in the specification support for the newly added limitation can be found.

Claims 21-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for cytidine and adenosine, does not reasonably provide enablement for any type of heterocyclic or sugar containing mononucleosides. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is

Art Unit: 1639

most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The scope of enabling disclosure provided in the specification is not commensurate in scope with the broad claimed invention. The broad claimed synthesis employ any type of heterocyclic base and sugar that can be modified either in the heterocyclic or sugar moiety under any type of conditions or reagents. However, as evident from the prior art teachings e.g., Rodenko (J. Chem.Soc. Perkin Trans.) appropriate synthesis of nucleosides can be achieved using only the appropriate reagents under appropriate conditions. Determining these numerous variables for any type of heterocyclic-sugar complex would require undue experimentation to determine. This is the more true since the claims do not recite any structure for the heterocyclic base covalently coupled to any sugar moiety.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, second paragraph

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 21-26, as amended, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to

Art Unit: 1639

particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As a preliminary matter, the rejection of the claims in the previous Office action has been overcome with the amendments to the claims. However, the newly presented claims are rejected as follows:

1. The description of the method of generating a library in the claimed terms provide for confusion and ambiguity as to whether in fact the desired product is obtained. There is no differentiating characteristic in structural sense of the relative terms "first", "second", "third" and "fourth" mononucleosides or the definitive product resulting therefrom. [It is suggested that applicant claim the method steps in terms of the reactions of the structure as shown in the specification, e.g., page 8]. Claim 21 is confusing as the preamble recites a mononucleoside library. The body recites a modified mononucleoside library. Furthermore, a reagent is normally used to initiate reaction between two products rather than a modifying agent. [It is suggested that applicants point out where specifically in the specification the use of a reagent results in a reagent attached to the mononucleoside to result in a modified mononucleoside].

Art Unit: 1639

2. Claim 23 used of the phrase "is disposed" is unclear as to the step covered by said phrase.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 21-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Polouchine (U.S. 5,902,879).

Polouchine discloses e.g., at col. 14, Examples a method of producing a modified Nucleosides Combinatorial Libraries comprising the steps wherein the amino group of 5'-methoxytrityl-2'-deoxy-2'-amino-nucleosides 1 can be selectively methoxyoxalylated with in situ prepared methyl oxalyl tetrazolide or, more preferably, with methyl oxalate, to form 2'-methoxyoxalamido precursor. Upon treatment with a proper nucleophile, such as an aliphatic primary or secondary amine (particularly an amino attached to an aliphatic carbon), ammonia or hydroxy anion, precursor 2 forms a corresponding 2'-modified

Art Unit: 1639

nucleoside 3. Upon treatment with a mixture of different nucleophiles, the combinatorial library of 2'-modified nucleosides may be produced. Accordingly, the method of Polouchine, which recites specific method steps using specific reagents under specific conditions fully, meets the broad claimed method.

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to T. D. Wessendorf whose telephone number is (703) 308-3967. The examiner can normally be reached on Flexitime.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Wang can be reached on (703) 306-3217. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7924 for regular communications and (703) 308-7924 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/904,248

Art Unit: 1639

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

T. D. Wessendorf Primary Examiner Art Unit 1639 Page 8

tdw

November 25, 2003